

G.R.S. IX-5]

Previous references
S 88 178 is 1-6

Resum

1922

(*muwz*)

File No. 311 - 22

Serial Nos. 1+2

Later references.

mag. 60-23-1-4
586

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. *was*

Part B for 9 January 1923

SUBJECT

*Repairs to the Sri Vallabharajapuram Temple
at Vasantapur Bangalore Taluk*

*To the new Committee in charge
Comptroller Genl.
President, Renovation Committee for
the Sri Vallabharajapuram T.*

N^o 2150-2/22-2 of 27.1.23

*Summation of estimates amounting to
Rs 3,235/- for the purpose*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 311-22

FILE HEADING.

Repairs to the Sri Vallabharayachamy temple at Vasanta
pur in the Bangalore Taluk.

From

The Muzrai Comm^{ee} in Mysore.

4. No. 306421-23. Dated 15.1.23.

Received 17.1.23.

Diary No. 1455

Enclos. 1 file. Spare Copies.

Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the D. G. Bangalore dated for sanction & estimates amounting to Rs. 2,735, the cost being met out of the public subscriptions of Rs. 3300, that the question was referred to the Temple Renovation Committee who have resolved to undertake the work themselves under the supervision of one of the Committee members Mr. J. B. J.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Kannu, actg. Asst. Engineer, that items 1 & 2 in by amounting to Rs. 1814 may be sanctioned for the present & that an equal sum may be sanctioned from the State grant or Muzrai Funds for that repairs to the Madhyaranga & Kalyana mantaps amounting to Rs. 1700 may be completed, & the papers for an.

Serial
No.

N

The previous files are put up for perusal.
Attention is invited to G.O. No. 1751-53/Muz.
588-17-4 d. 13.1.19 wherein it was stated that
the Committee's request for a grant would
be considered when a detailed estimate for the
repairs of the building is prepared and at least
half the cost has been collected from private
donors and deposited in a grant Treasury.

Under for orders.

N.

M.H. In G.O. No. 1751-53/Muz 588-17-4
18.1.23. & 13-1-19, Govt. said that the
question of sanctioning a grant for
the repairs of this temple wd. be considered
when the question was ripe for orders
i.e. when a detailed estimate for the
repairs of a part or whole of the building
is prepared and at least half the
cost has been collected.

Detailed estimates have been
prepared and are as follows:-

1. Garbhagriha - 1611 Rs
2. Sukavari - - - 203 Rs
3. Madhvaranga - - 921 Rs
4. Kalgana mantapa - 1740 Rs
5. Hajarai - - - 4702 Rs
6. Sundry repairs . 200 Rs
7. Sampadokhane - - 200 Rs.
8. Supervision charges . 923 Rs

Rs 10,500 Rs

1611 -
203
1814

921
1740
2661

1814
2661
4475 Rs.

Committee 3250.
1880

Serial
No.

These Estimates are said to have been prepared under the supervision of Mr. V. H. Karve, Retd. Insp. Insp. are of the members of the renovation Committee who have undertaken to get the work ~~done~~ done & completed.

It is now proposed to take up only the following works on hand

- 1) Garbhagudi - 1611 Rs.
- 2) Sukarnasi - 203 Rs.
- 3) Madhgaranga - - - 921 Rs.
- 4) Kaljua Mantapa - 1740 Rs.

4,475 Rs.

The renovation Committee is said to have collected Rs 3300 and the ~~renovation committee~~ Mr. Comr. recommends that a sum of Rs 1814 may be sanctioned from the State grant or Govt. Mr. funds.

If this is sanctioned the renovation Committee will have altogether Rs 5100 which will enable them to get through all the estimates except the Hajira for which an Est. for Rs 4700 has been prepared which may lie over for a future occasion.

including
minor repairs,
Sanitary scheme
& Supervision charges

Mur File No. 311 of 19 22

Serial
No.

In reply to 22/12 from the Mur:
Comr., the Comptroller has indicated
in his letter 2.4522/712 of 26.10.22
that a sum of Rs 2,00,000 is
available out of interest earnings
of the Genl Mur Fd., and the
small extra amt of Rs 1800 may
be sanctioned from this source in view
of G.O. No. 2526-37/mur 391-15-1 of 2.4.17
and 1103-13/mur 522-18-2 of 28.9.19
which allow a sum of Rs 10,000
every year from the Genl Mur Fd..
May it please sanction the ~~21800~~ ^{estimate}
contribution of 21800 is sub. for
affd a order.

Sd/

19.1.23

I do not think
that the present is an opportune
moment for making a grant to
the temple in Guntur, which
has no architectural importance
when various temples like the
Chennakesava temple at
Belur are being considered.

Serial
No.

With the money that we can spare
we should be able to do the benefit
of such institutions as the
Bihar temple -

The D.C. has
been informed that no grant
could be sanctioned during 1922-23
for the Vallabhapuram temple.
Nevertheless the present reference
has been received
(First Member) 22/22/23

5941-625-

28.1.23

I fully agree in the above view.
But regarding the cost of which
can be met from the collection reported
to have been made may be sanctioned.
I think

Recd
26.1.23 24/21/23

Draft order in accordance with the
instructions of the member in sub.

24
25.1.23

24
25/25/23

Serial
No.

2

No 2150 - 2 of 27. 1. 23 to
the Rev Commr., Compt. &
the President, Renovation Committee
sanctions the estimate for
Rs. 3,235 and states that the
work may be entrusted to the
Temple Renovation Committee
for execution -

C 306 of 22-23
En on file

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Dated, Bangalore, the 15th January 1923.

From

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, B a n g a l o r e.

1455

22-23

311-22-1

To

T.E. Javarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.275/Muz.674-21-4 dated 20th July 1922 to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, calling for further particulars relative to the repairs of the Sri Vallabharavaswamy temple at Vasanthapur in the Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:--

2. The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, submitted for sanction the *marginally noted 3 estimates amounting to -

1. Garbhagudi .. Rs.1,611/-	Rs.2,755/-, proposing the cost to be met out of the public subscription of Rs.3,300/- remaining in the hands of the renovation Committee.
2. Sukanasi .. 203/-	
3. Madhvaranga .. 921/-	

On receipt of the above recommendations the Deputy Commissioner was asked to report the agency (whether P.W.D. or any other agency) under whose supervision the work was proposed to be carried out. This question having been referred to the Renovation Committee, they have resolved to undertake the work themselves under the supervision of one of the Committee members Mr. G.V. Karve, retired Superintending Engineer, and that items 1 and 2 only, amounting to Rs.1,814/- may be sanctioned for the present and that an equal sum may be sanctioned from the General Muzrai funds, so that items No.3 for Rs.231/- and item 4 viz., Kalyanamantapa for Rs.1,740/- (which are also to be

might be completed.

3. Though according sanction to the first two estimates ^{is} ~~are~~ within my power, yet the papers are submitted for orders of Government as questions relating to contribution from State funds and the execution of work by an agency other than P.W.D. are involved.

4. The vernacular records are submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant

K. G. A. D. S.

Muzrai Commissioner.

Proceedings of the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

- - -

Read --

P N

X
Para 2 of G.O.No.1751-53/muz.588-17-4
dated the 13th January 1910 stating that the
request of the Renovation Committee of Sri
Vallabharayaswami temple at Vasantapur for
a contribution towards the urgent repairs
of the temple of a sum of Rs.5000/- equal
to the amount proposed to be collected from
the public would be considered when a ~~as~~
detailed estimate for the repairs of a part
or the whole of the building is prepared
and at least half the cost has been collect-
ed from private donors and deposited in a
Government Treasury.

Correspondence ending in letter
No.C.306 of 23-20 dated 15th January 1923
from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
stating that the marginally noted estimates
prepared under the supervision of L. V. H.
Karve, Retired Superintending Engineer, one
of the members of the Renovation Committee
have been received for sanction, that a sum
of Rs.5,300/- has been collected by the
Renovation Committee who have undertaken to
~~execute~~ let the work for themselves and have
applied for a contribution from Government
for the present of a sum of Rs.1,814/- equal
to the amount required for executing the
first two estimates and submitting the
papers for orders, as question of contribution
from State Fund and the
execution of the work by ~~the~~ agency
other than the P.V.D. are involve.

X

1) Sanbhagudi	- 1611
2) Sukanani	203
3) Madhvaranga	- 921
4) Kalpana Mantapa	1740
5) Kapaia	- - - 4702
6) Santhya repairs	208
7) Sampulshane	300
8) Supervision charges	923
	<u>Rs. 10,600</u>

Govt. P.N.

Order No. 2150-2 Three Vanejale
mur 311-22-2

D. D. Bangalore, the 27.1.23

As the state grant allotment of the current year is almost exhausted, it is not possible to sanction any amount from that source for the purpose. Govt are, however, pleased to sanction the marginally noted estimates amounting to Rs 3235, the charge being met from the contribution raised by the devotees of the temple.

	Rs
* 1) Gaurbhagubli	1611
2) Sakanani	203
3) Madhyaranga	921
4) Sundry repairs	200
5) Samprokshane	300
	<u>3,235</u>

2. The estimate of expenditure for the temple renovation Committee for execution of the bill of construction will be submitted by the President of the said Committee.

U. P. 25/1/23
 Secretary to Government,
 Revenue Department.

Sd/-
 19.1.23

To
 The President, Renovation Committee of
 the Sri Vihara Basappa Swami Temple,
 Bangalore with - Sanctioned Estimates & other records.
 The Government to Government with abstract Estimates
 President, Renovation Committee of
 the Sri Vihara Basappa Swami Temple.